

## On Birkhoff Interpolation: Free Birkhoff Nodes

H. WINDAUER

*Rechenzentrum, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, West Germany*

*Communicated by G. G. Lorentz*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The elements  $e_{mn}$  of an  $M \times (N + 1)$  incidence matrix  $E = (e_{mn})$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ ,  $n = 0, \dots, N$ , are 0 or 1. We assume that the set  $e$  of all pairs  $(m, n)$ , for which  $e_{mn} = 1$ , has  $N + 1$  elements. The Birkhoff interpolation problem [2] associated with  $E$  is to find a polynomial  $p$  of degree (at most)  $N$  that satisfies the conditions

$$p^{(n)}(x_m) = a_{mn}, \quad (m, n) \in e, \quad (1.1)$$

where the  $a_{mn} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(m, n) \in e$ , and the real points

$$x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_M \quad (1.2)$$

are given.

Following G. G. Lorentz and K. L. Zeller [4], a matrix  $E$  is called free, if the Birkhoff interpolation problem (1.1) has a solution for each selection of the points (1.2) and of the real data  $a_{mn}$ . We shall call  $E$  conditionally free, if there are points (1.2) such that (1.1) has a solution for each selection of the real data  $a_{mn}$ .

As I. J. Schoenberg [5] has shown, an  $M \times (N + 1)$  incidence matrix  $E$  can only be free, if it satisfies the "Pólya condition"

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^n m_k \geq n + 1, \quad n = 0, \dots, N, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $m_k = \sum_{m=1}^M e_{mk}$ . D. Ferguson [3] proved that  $E$  is conditionally free if and only if (1.3) holds. Independently, K. Atkinson and A. Sharma [1] and D. Ferguson [3] found certain sufficient conditions for  $E$  to be free.

But there are many simple matrices like

$$\left\| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\| \quad \text{or} \quad \left\| \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\|,$$

which are only conditionally free. In order to find suitable points (1.2) for conditionally free matrices, we shall introduce the idea of free Birkhoff nodes.

## 2. FREE BIRKHOFF NODES

In the following, let  $\Pi_N$  be the real vector space spanned by the mappings  $\pi_n: \mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto x^n$ ,  $n = 0, \dots, N$ .

We shall call a set of  $M$  real points (1.2) free, if the Birkhoff interpolation problem (1.1) associated with any conditionally free  $M \times (N + 1)$  incidence matrix  $E$  has a solution  $p \in \Pi_N$  for each selection of the real data  $a_{mn}$ . Such points (1.2) will also be called free Birkhoff nodes.

Let be  $\mathbb{R} \ni c > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f_1, f_{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f_1, f_{-1} \geq \max\{(c - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}, (4N^2)^{N+1}\},$$

and  $f_i, f_{-i} \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $f_i \geq f_{i-1}$ ,  $f_{-i-1} \geq f_{-i}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ . Further, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all points  $x_{\pm i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , defined by

$$x_{-i} = -c + (f_{-i})^{-i}, \quad x_i = c - (f_i)^{-i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

**THEOREM.** *Each ordered subset  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$  with  $M$  elements ( $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is free.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be an arbitrary conditionally free  $M \times (N + 1)$  incidence matrix. We shall prove that any  $p \in \Pi_N$  vanishes identically if

$$p^{(n)}(x_m) = 0, \quad x_m \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (m, n) \in e.$$

Suppose that  $M_n - n$  zeros of  $p^{(n)}$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ , are among the points of  $\mathcal{M}$  or belong to the open intervals

$$I_0^{(n)} = (y_{-1} + d^n, y_1 - d^n), \quad I_i^{(n)} = (y_i, y_{i+1} - (f_i)^{-i} \cdot d^n),$$

$$I_{-i}^{(n)} = (y_{-i-1} + (f_{-i})^{-i} \cdot d^n, y_{-i}),$$

where  $d = (4 \cdot N^2)^{-1}$  and the  $y_{\pm i}$  are the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . This is true for  $n = 0$ . By Rolle's theorem, we get  $M_n - n - 1$  zeros of  $p^{(n+1)}$ . Similar to the proof of Lemma 2 of G. G. Lorentz and K. L. Zeller [4], these zeros can be selected to be distinct and out of the intervals  $I_0^{(n+1)}$ ,  $I_{\pm i}^{(n+1)}$ .  $E$  also specifies  $m_{n+1}$  new zeros of  $p^{(n+1)}$  in  $m_{n+1}$  points of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $p^{(n+1)}$  must have at least  $M_n - n - 1 + m_{n+1} = M_{n+1} - (n + 1)$  zeros. Now it follows by induction on  $n$  that  $p^{(n)}$  has at least  $M_n - n$  zeros,  $n = 0, \dots, N$ ; this, and the Pólya condition (1.3), assures that  $p^{(N)}$  has at least  $M_N - N =$

$N + 1 - N = 1$  zeros. But  $p^{(N)} = c \cdot \pi_0$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $p^{(N)} = 0$  and  $p \in \Pi_{N-1}$ . These arguments work for all derivatives  $p^{(n)}$ ,  $n < N$ , and guarantee that  $p$  vanishes identically.

Obviously, any affine transformation maps free Birkhoff nodes into free Birkhoff nodes again. In this way, free Birkhoff nodes can be constructed in any interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. K. ATKINSON AND A. SHARMA, A partial characterization of poised Hermite–Birkhoff interpolation problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **6** (1969), 230–235.
2. G. D. BIRKHOFF, General mean value and remainder theorems with applications to mechanical differentiation and integration, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1906), 107–136.
3. D. FERGUSON, The question of uniqueness for G. D. Birkhoff interpolation problems, *J. Approx. Th.* **2** (1969), 1–28.
4. G. G. LORENTZ AND K. L. ZELLER, Birkhoff interpolation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **8** (1971), 43–48.
5. I. J. SCHOENBERG, On Hermite–Birkhoff interpolation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **16** (1966), 538–543.